

## EXHIBIT A



**U.S. Immigration  
and Customs  
Enforcement**

August 1, 2018

VIA EMAIL

Schroeter Goldmark & Bender  
Adam J. Berger  
Jamal N. Whitehead  
Lindsay L. Halm  
810 Third Avenue, Suite 500  
Seattle, WA 98104

Devin Theriot-Orr  
Sunbird Law, PLLC  
1001 Fourth Avenue, Suite 3200  
Seattle, WA 98154

Mark Emery  
Norton Rose Fullbright US LLP  
799 9<sup>th</sup> St. NW, Suite 1000  
Washington, DC 20001

Joan K. Mell  
III Branches Law, PLLC  
1019 Regents Blvd., Ste. 204  
Fircrest, WA 98466

Andrew Free  
The Law Office  
P.O. Box 90568  
Nashville, TN 37209

Charles A. Deacon  
Norton Rose Fulbright US LLP  
300 Convent St.  
San Antonio, TX 78205

Lindsay Halm  
Schroeter Goldmark & Bender  
810 3rd Ave.  
Ste 500  
Seattle, WA 98104

Meena Pallipamu Menter  
Menter Immigration Law PLLC  
8201 164<sup>th</sup> Ave., N.E., Suite 200  
Seattle, WA 98052

Andrea D'Ambra  
Norton Rose Fulbright US, LLP  
1301 Avenue of the Americas  
New York, New York 10019

Re: *Ugochukwu Goodluck Nwauzor et. al v. The Geo Group*  
WDWA No. 17-5806-RJB

Counsel,

It has come to our attention that a declaration, executed by former Immigration and Customs Enforcement (ICE) employee Tracey Valerio, was submitted in this action in violation of 6 C.F.R. §§ 5.41-5.49, commonly referred to as *Touhy* regulations. *See generally United States ex. rel. Touhy v. Ragen*, 340 U.S. 462 (1951). The filing appears to have been made on July 20, 2018. The *Touhy* regulations are an absolute condition precedent to obtaining testimony or other information from a Department of Homeland Security (DHS) employee of which ICE is a component, and the regulations must be complied with before the DHS or ICE may respond to any such request. *See United States v. Soriano-Jarquin*, 492 F.3d 495 (4th Cir. 2007); *Ho v. United States*, 374 F.Supp.2d 82 (D.D.C. 2005); *Boeh v. Gates*, 25 F.3d 761 (9<sup>th</sup> Cir. 1994).

Section 5.43 of the DHS *Touhy* regulations requires that service of subpoenas, court orders, and other demands or requests for official information be served on the DHS Office of the General Counsel (OGC). DHS OGC has delegated this responsibility to its components. The enacted regulations govern the release of testimony or information in the custody and control of the Department, or was acquired by Department employees, or former employees, as part of their official duties or because of their official status within the Department while such individuals were employed by or served on behalf of the Department. *See* 6 C.F.R. § 5.41(e).

Further, DHS regulations bar all DHS employees, including former employees, from *inter alia* providing responses to questions by attorneys in situations involving litigation regarding any material contained in the files of the Department, any information relating to material contained in the files of the Department, or any information acquired while the subject of the request for information is or was employed by DHS, unless authorized to do so by the DHS Office of General Counsel or its designees. *See* 6 C.F.R. § 5.44.

As Ms. Valerio was not authorized to speak on behalf of the agency or provide the information contained in the declaration submitted, ICE objects to the submission of the declaration to the extent that it purports to be provided on behalf of the agency or express agency views.

Sincerely,

/s/ Anne M. Rose

Anne M. Rose  
Associate Legal Advisor  
Government Information Law Division  
Office of the Principal Legal Advisor  
U.S. Immigration and Customs Enforcement